

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

## OF TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS

CANNEL C. Leann

Honorable Forrester Hancook Crisinal District Attorney Wexshablic. Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-2486
Rer Condidate's application
for name on ballot failure to file on time Article 3113, R. C. S.,
1925.

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of your letter of your 24, 1940, requesting the opinion of this department upon the following questions

product office fells to file his written intention in pursuance to the provisions of Art. 1113. P. 1925, san a County Descertic Committee, upon learling the evidence and testimony of the said condidate, instruct the Chairman to place will candidate's name upon the official balls, subject to his paying at the proper time the fee assessed against said condidate a recet.

In this commotion, you state that,

e condidate for constable and a condidate for county commissioner of Ellis Count, at their expense, had the County Che ream of Ellis County to call a meeting for the Democratic Executive Cossittee of Ellis County. At this meeting the respective candidates, individually and out of the presduce of each other. Substituted in person the reseases thy the did not file under the said Article Ill; effectively and after having been exemined and cross-examined by the respective members of the Committee as to their nois failure, the said Committee voted on each individual case a manimous vote that each candidate be placed on the bullot, subject to him paying the fee examined against him race and subject to the opinion of the Attorney Comeral of Texas."

Article 3113, Vernon's Associated Civil Statutes, reeds

on the official ballot for the general primary. es a candidate for the nomination for any office to be filled by the qualified voters of a county or a portion thereof, or for county chairman, shall file with the county chairman of the county of his residence, not later than Saturday before the third Monday in June proceding such primary, a written request for his name to be printed on such official ballot as a candidate for the nomination or position named therein, giving his occupation and post-office address, giving street and number of his residence, if within a city or town, such request to be signed and acknowledged by him before some officer authorized to take acknowledgment to deeds. Such request similarly signed and schmowledged by any twenty-five qualified voters resident in the county may be filed on or before said date, requesting that the name of any person named thereinmay be placed on the official bellot as a candidate for any county or precinct office or chairmenship, with like effect as if such request was filed by the person named as a candidate therein; which request shall be ondorsed by the candidate named therein, showing his consent to such candidacy, if nominated."

As stated in the annotation in Vol. 72 of the American Law Reports at page 290:

"It is generally and almost universally held that statutory provisions in election statutes, requiring that a certificate or application of nomination be filed with a specified officer within a stipulated period of time, are mandatory."

This is apparently the rule in this State. In Dunegen v. Jones (C. C. A. 1934) 76 S. W. (2d) 219, the court, by way of diotum, stated:

is expressly given the right to have his name appear printed on the official bellot of the primary election upon written request signed and acknowledged and filed with the county chairman not later than Saturday before the third Monday in June preceding such election. Article 3113. And further, as a condition precedent, the county candidates must pay to the executive committee the amount of the estimated expenses of holding the primary apportioned to him. Article 3116, R. S. Since statutory provisions as to the preparation of the ballot and as to the printed names to appear thereon must be strictly followed (20 C.

Hon. Forrester Hencock, page 3

have his same printed on the official belief would not be evident in case of failure to the time-ly fulfill the requirements of the statute, and his application for mendamia would probably be desired by the court.

Many inquiries are involved in the proper construction of an election statute. Among the more important ones are, is the statute being considered from the point of view of election officials and candidates for election, or is it being considered from the standpoint of the electorate? In addition, the question srises, is the statute being interpreted prior to, or after the election? As succinctly stated by the Texas Supreme Court in Sterling v. Ferguson, 122 Tex. 122, 53 S. V. (20) 753:

"This we have said that these statutes with reference to certification by the secretary of state, posting by the county clerk, and the supplying of bellots twenty days before the election for absentee voters, are mandatory before the election, and obedience thereto may be enforced, it does not follow that failure to follow them would result in a void election. ... We say this in order that our statement of the previous rule may not be misunderstood. After en election, most election statutes ere to be regarded in a semewhat different light. Ordinarily after an election the inquiry is one as to whether or not there has been a free and untranscled vote and a correct record made: and. if so, the courts are prone to sustain the result of the election. 9 Ruling Case Law, p. 1091, \$ 101. However, before the election these statutes, the object and purpose of which has been briefly referred to, are statutes which the public officers should obey, and which they mary ordinarily be required to obey by proper proocedings."

Consequently, it is the opinion of this department and you are respectfully advised, that Article 3113. Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, limiting the time when a candidate for office may file application to have his neme placed upon the bellot is mandatory. Being mandatory, it is the duty of candidates and election officials alike, including the county executive committee, to abide strictly by its letter. Being mandatory, moreover, its provisions cannot be waived by the joint agreement of all parties concerned.

For your guidence, we point out, however, that this opinion interprets Article 3113 only as concerns its mandatory

Hon. Forrester Hancock, page 4

character prior to the election and only insofar as the duty of candidates and election officials is concerned. We cannot, nor do we attempt, to pass upon the validity of an election held contrary to its provision.

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By /a/ James D. Smillen Assistant

JDS:RS: jrb

APPROVED JULY 8, 1940
/s/ Clenn R. Lewis
ACTING ATTORNET ORNERAL OF THEAS

and the commence of the company and the company of the company of the company of the company of the company of

APPROVED OPINION COLUMNITEE BY BUE, Chairman